FILED IN CLERK'S OFFICE OF U.S.D.C. Atlanta

MAR 3 0 2011

JAMES N. HATTEN, CLERK
By: REGICLA Deputy Clerk

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

TRACY R. MITCHELL,	)
Plaintiff,	) ) ) CIVIL ACTION FILE
v.	)
	) NO. 1:10-cv-3196-TCB-JFK
WASHINGTON MUTUAL,	)
MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC	)
SYSTEMS, INC., SHAPIRO &	)
SWERTFEGER, LLP,	)
FEDERAL NATIONAL	)
MORTGAGE ASSOC., and	)
EVERHOME MORTGAGE CO.	)
	)
Defendants.	)

## ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") issued by Magistrate Judge Janet F. King [14], which recommends that the Court grant Defendants' motion to dismiss [12] and dismiss Plaintiff's complaint without prejudice. No timely objections to the R&R have been filed.

After conducting a careful and complete review of a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations, a district judge may accept, reject or modify a magistrate judge's R&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); Williams v. Wainwright, 681 F.2d 732 (11th Cir. 1982). A district judge "shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made."

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). The district judge must "give fresh consideration to those issues to which specific objection has been made by a party."

Jeffrey S. v. State Bd. of Educ. of Ga., 896 F.2d 507, 512 (11th Cir. 1990).

Those portions of an R&R to which an objection is not asserted are reviewed for plain error. United States v. Slay, 714 F.2d 1093, 1095 (11th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed Judge King's R&R and finds no plain error in her factual or legal conclusions. Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS AS ITS ORDER the R&R [14]. The Court GRANTS Defendants' motion to dismiss [12] and DISMISSES Plaintiff's complaint without prejudice. The CLERK is DIRECTED to close this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 29th day of March, 2011.

Timothy C. Batten, Sr. United States District Judge